



# THREATENED PRETERM LABOR (†PTL)

FLAME LECTURE: 124

BURNS/STELLER 3.16.19

# LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- ▶ Identify risk factors for preterm labor
- ▶ Describe the signs and symptoms of preterm labor
- ▶ See also:
  - ▶ Flame 125 – Mgmt of Preterm Labor
  - ▶ Flame 126 – Adverse Outcomes of PTD
  - ▶ Flame 127 – Ruling Out ROM
  - ▶ Flame 129 – Management of PROM

# BACKGROUND

## PTD EPIDEMIOLOGY & DEFINITIONS

- ▶ 400,000 preterm deliveries (PTDs) in U.S. annually (~10% of all deliveries)
- ▶ PTD is responsible for 28% of non-chromosomally-related M&M
- ▶ PTD is defined as delivery <37 weeks
  - ▶ <34 weeks: early preterm birth
  - ▶ 34-37 weeks: late preterm birth

# BACKGROUND

## PTL DEFINITIONS

- ▶ Preterm Labor: Contractions + **Cervical Change (dilation/effacement)** <37 weeks GA
  - ▶ Some women will have cervical changes without contractions (cervical insufficiency)
  - ▶ Some women will have symptoms w/o cervical change (threatened PTL (tPTL), or just Braxton Hicks contractions)
- ▶ **85% of pts admitted for tPTL do not deliver w/in 7 days**
  - ▶ Most get BMZ for fetal lung maturity
  - ▶ Avg price of admission for PTL <34wks (w/o delivery) is \$20,300<sup>1</sup>

# BACKGROUND

## RISK FACTORS FOR PTD

- ▶ Previous PTD
  - ▶ 1.5-2X more likely to have subsequent PTD
  - ▶ Risk becomes progressively higher with increased number of PTDs
  - ▶ Likewise, risk decreased the more remote a PTD was OR if there has been a subsequent term delivery
- ▶ Short cervix (<2.5cm)
- ▶ PROM (prelabor rupture of membranes)
- ▶ Multiple gestation
- ▶ Tobacco and illicit substance abuse
- ▶ Underweight pre-pregnancy BMI
- ▶ Short interpregnancy interval
- ▶ Chorioamnionitis / UTIs / Bacterial vaginosis
- ▶ Mullerian anomalies

# HOW DO WE EVAL FOR PTL?

- ▶ **Standard clinical assessment (SCA)** includes: history, continuous fetal monitoring (CFM), sterile pelvic exam (SPE), and sterile speculum exam (SSE)
- ▶ Lofti 2017 evaluated SCA in 148 women 24-36 6/7 wks
  - ▶ tPTL symptoms: contractions, cramping, intermittent lower abdominal pain, back pain, pelvic pressure, vaginal bleeding
    - ▶ Exclusions: moderate bleeding, previa, SROM, >3cm dilated, intercourse w/in 24 hours of time of evaluation, <18 yo, multiples
  - ▶ Prediction of delivery within 7 (& 14 days in parentheses) is BAD (only 10%), however, NPV was good

METRIC	SENSITIVITY	SPECIFICITY	PPV	NPV
SCA	100% (100%)	41% (42%)	10% (14%)	100% (100%)

# CAN CERVICAL LENGTH (CL) HELP?

## ▶ Nikolova 2015:

- ▶ SCA + CL performed in 203 singletons between 20 0/7 – 36 6/7 weeks for risk of labor <7 days
- ▶ tPTL symptoms: contractions, cramping, intermittent lower abdominal pain, back pain, pelvic pressure, vaginal bleeding
  - ▶ Exclusions: moderate bleeding, previa, SROM, >3cm dilated, intercourse w/in 24 hours of time of evaluation, <18 yo, multiples
- ▶ Prediction of delivery within 7 days with CL <2.5 is BAD (~30%)

METRIC	SENSITIVITY	SPECIFICITY	PPV	NPV
CL <2.5cm	57%	73%	30%	89%

- ▶ **Interestingly, 100% of patients with CL <1.5 delivered in <7D, and 3% of pts w/ CL >3.5 delivered in <7D; CL between 1.5-3.5 was not helpful**



THUS, THE STANDARD OF CARE (SCA +/-  
CL) HAS NOT BEEN GREAT FOR  
PREDICTING PTD!

CAN BIOMARKERS HELP?

FETAL FIBRONECTIN (FFN)



# fFN HAS BEEN THE RECENT STANDARD

## HOW IT WORKS IN PRACTICE

- ▶ Used as an aid to assess risk of delivery w/in 7-14 days between 24 0/7-34 6/7 weeks with tPTL (who have INTACT cervical membranes AND <3cm cervical DILATION)
  - ▶ NOT to be used in women >3cm dilated, SRM, cervical cerclage, or bleeding
- ▶ In practice, fFN is used for its NPV, not its PPV!
  - ▶ If fFN is negative, you can be fairly confident that the patient is unlikely to deliver in the next 7 days (maybe even 14 days)
  - ▶ If fFN is positive... then you wish you hadn't even sent it because it is not helpful in determining risk of PTD

# BACKGROUND

## WHAT IS fFN?

- ▶ It is a protein typically localized in the extracellular matrix of the choriodecidual junction
- ▶ It is unclear exactly how fFN appears in cervico-vaginal secretions
  - ▶ Mechanical stress caused by uterine contractions and cervical change leading to choriodecidual separation?
  - ▶ Localized inflammation (from infection) leading to breakdown of the choriodecidual interface?



# NEWER BIOMARKERS

*PAMG-1 (PARTOSURE)*

*PHIGFBP-1 (ACTIM PARTUS)*

# BACKGROUND

## WHAT IS PAMG-1?

- ▶ Human placental alpha macroglobulin-1 historically is a protein isolated from amniotic fluid
  - ▶ It can also be found in cervico-vaginal discharge (however at several thousand times lower concentration)
  - ▶ Thus, it was originally extensively used as AmniSure to evaluate for ruptured membranes

# BACKGROUND

## WHAT IS phIGFBP-1?

- ▶ Also called placental protein 12, it is a protein synthesized in decidualized endometrial cells during pregnancy
- ▶ Appears to be regulated by HCG and progesterone
- ▶ Has abundant functions during implantation and throughout pregnancy
- ▶ Absent in the vagina under normal conditions

# BACK TO LOFTI 2017

- ▶ 148 singletons between 24 0/7 – 36 6/7 weeks were evaluated for risk of labor within 7 and 14 days
- ▶ Previously hidden from the 1st slide was that PAMG-1 was also collected and clinicians were blinded to its outcome
- ▶ Results for prediction of delivery <7D (and <14D in parentheses), and PAMG-1 greatly improved PPV, while retaining very high NPV

METRIC	SENSITIVITY	SPECIFICITY	PPV	NPV
SCA	100% (100%)	41% (42%)	10% (14%)	100% (100%)
PAMG-1	63% (55%)	98% (99%)	71% (86%)	98% (96%)

- ▶ Conclusion of investigators: 81/132 pts had been admitted for observation 2/2 concern or tPTL by SCA → 8/81 delivered <7D → thus potentially 73/81 of the admissions “may have been prevented with help of PAMG-1”

# PAMG-1 COMPARED TO fFN?

## BACK TO NIKOLOVA 2015

- ▶ 203 singletons between 20 0/7 – 36 6/7 weeks for risk of labor <7D
  - ▶ Previously hidden from the slide was that PAMG-were also collected and clinicians were blinded to its outcome

METRIC	SENSITIVITY	SPECIFICITY	PPV	NPV
CL <2.5cm	57%	73%	30%	89%
PAMG-1	80%	95%	76% (82%)	98% (93%)
fFN	50%	72%	25% (42%)	91% (82%)

- ▶ Results for prediction of delivery <7D (and <14D in parentheses)
- ▶ PAMG-1 has better (but not perfect) PPV, and still better NPV

# RECENT META-ANALYSIS

## MELCHOR 2018

- ▶ Evaluated SCA + CL + all 3 biomarkers and compared them (after separating pts into three groups by CL) for their ability to predict PTD w/in 7 days
  - ▶ Low risk (CL >3cm), High risk (CL <1.5cm), Mod. risk (CL 1.5-3cm)
  - ▶ PAMG-1 was found to be generally better in most aspects
  - ▶ Below are the statistics for these markers irrespective of CL

METRIC	SENSITIVITY	SPECIFICITY	PPV	NPV
fFN	68%	84%	34%	83%
PAMG-1	76%	97%	76%	97%
phiGFBP-1	93%	76%	35%	99%



# CONCLUSIONS

- ▶ There is no perfect way to rule out PTL, thus extended observation of patients with concern for PTL remains important for decreasing fetal morbidity/mortality
- ▶ A biomarker such as PAMG-1 retains strong NPV, while having the strongest predictive accuracy and may assist with evaluation of tPTL
  - ▶ Further, in Melchor 2018, the positivity rate for PAMG-1 in pts with tPTL was 7.9% (compared to 23-30% for the other two markers)
    - ▶ Thus, a lower overall positivity rate + a higher PPV may reduce the rate of unnecessary hospitalization and/or transfer for tPTL
- ▶ Remember that blood, lubricating jelly, betadine, and antibiotic creams can interfere with biomarkers
- ▶ Generic soaps, creams, semen, urine, vaginitis will not interfere

# REFERENCES

1. ACOG PB 130: Prediction and Prevention of Preterm Birth (2012)
2. ACOG PB 171: Management of Preterm Labor (2016)
3. Nikolova T, Bayev O, Nikolova N, Di Renzo GC. Comparison of a novel test of placental alpha-macroglobulin-1 with fetal fibronectin and cervical length measurement for the prediction of imminent spontaneous preterm delivery in patients with threatened preterm labor. *J perinat. Med* 2015; 43(4):395-402
4. Loffi G, et al. Comparison of the effectiveness of a PAMG-1 test and standard clinical assessment in the prediction of preterm birth and reduction of unnecessary hospital admissions. *J matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2017;
5. Lucovnik M, Chambliss LR, Garfield RE. Costs of unnecessary admissions and treatments for "threatened preterm labor". *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2013; 209:217.e1-3.
6. Di Renzo GC, CaberoRoura L, Facchinetti F, the EAPM-Study Group on "Preterm Birth". Guidelines for the management of spontaneous preterm labor: identification of spontaneous preterm labor, diagnosis of preterm premature rupture of membranes, and preventive tools for preterm birth. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2011;24:659-67.
7. J. C. MELCHOR, A. KHALIL, D. WING, E. SCHLEUSSNER, and D. SURBEK. Prediction of preterm delivery in symptomatic women using PAMG-1, fetal fibronectin and pHIGFBP-1 tests: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2018; 52: 442-451